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What challenges do you face in preparing alternative-route career/technical teachers?
Transition to Teaching: Supporting Beginning Teachers Entering Through Alternative Routes

ACTE
November 18, 2011
St. Louis

CTE Teacher Preparation Project
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexity of Nontraditional Entry into CTE Teaching</th>
<th>Increased CTE Teacher Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Shortage of CTE teachers</td>
<td>- Challenges of the new mission—students college and career ready</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Teacher attrition</td>
<td>- Role of CTE in school improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unique needs of beginning CTE teachers</td>
<td>- Student diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Diversity of certification routes</td>
<td>- Intellectual rigor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increasing percentage of teachers entering through nontraditional routes</td>
<td>- Project- and problem-based learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Embedded academic content</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Questions for Discussion

- In what ways do the lessons learned in the development of the model resonate with my own experience?
- How could you use this model to inform improvements in your work with alternative route CTE teachers?
- How could the model inform your work with veteran CT teachers?
CTE Teacher Preparation Project

- Develop an induction model for new CTE teachers pursuing alternative route certification
- Increase competence, self-efficacy, and career commitment
- Prepare the intervention for experimental trials
High-quality teacher professional development and support lead to increased teacher competency, self-efficacy, career commitment, and ultimately, improved student outcomes.
Components of the Program

Professional Development

- 10 • 10 Day Summer Institute
  • Prior year one teaching
- 6 • 3, 2-day follow-ups during year one teaching
- 10 • 10 Day Summer Institute
  • Post year one teaching

Support

- On-site coaching visits from the professional development instructor
- Mentoring from a trained, experienced teacher
- Support from the building administrator
- Electronic communities of practice
What Do CTE Teachers Need to Know and Be Able to Do?

- Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium Model Standards for Beginning Teachers (1992)
- Framework for Teaching (Danielson, 1996)
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards for Career/Technical Teachers (1997)
- NRCCTE research and SREB surveys
Instructional Planning:
Create short-term and long-term standards-based instructional plans based on the varying learning needs of students.

Instructional Strategies:
Use instructional strategies that actively engage students in learning and encourage the development of problem-solving, critical thinking, and teamwork skills.

Classroom Assessment:
Use formal and informal assessment strategies to evaluate student progress toward learning goals and provide feedback to improve student learning.

Classroom Management:
Create a learning environment that encourages student motivation, positive behavior, and collaborative social interaction.

Teacher Reflection: Reflect, both individually and collaboratively, on the effects of instruction and use the reflective process to continually improve instructional practice.
Professional Development Component

- Guides for Instructors and Participants
- Sequence of Delivery
- Delivery Methods
Support Elements

- Mentoring
- Coaching
- Electronic communities of practice
- Administrator and school system support
Iterative Development Process

Year 3: Field Test of Module Content
- Analyze Data
- Revise

Year 4: Field Test of Full Induction Model
- Analyze Data
- Revise

Year 5: State-Led Field Test of Full Induction Model
- Analyze Data
- Publish Final Documents
Findings About Professional Development

- Planning Standards-Based Instruction
- Designing Standards-Based Assessments
- Facilitating Project-Based Learning
- Using Cooperative Learning
- Embedding Literacy
- Embedding Numeracy
- Managing the Classroom

- Workshop format that models strategies
- Content-area specific examples
- Teach-backs
- Coaching during individual and small group work
- Facilitated reflection

Seven Essential Skills

Delivery Makes a Difference!
## What We Have Learned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Professional Development</th>
<th>Quality of Support and Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• “Just-in-time,” practical information is superior to traditional delivery of college course sequence.</td>
<td>• Specialized training and materials for administrators and mentors support “speaking the same language.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Learning struggle” is important and necessary.</td>
<td>• Sustained, structured support should be connected to professional development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teachers are prepared to contribute to school improvement efforts.</td>
<td>• On-site mentors need to be available on a daily basis for support and advice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Implementation and Delivery
- Coordination is needed among all stakeholders.
- Program delivery needs to be intense and accelerated (15 mos. vs. 5 years).
- The selection of first-year teachers is essential. This is not a “fix it” program.
- Traditional teacher educators need to shift style of delivery and view of content organization to implement this model.
Conditions for Implementation of the Model

- Fidelity to structure of model—professional development and support
- Facilitated planning with stakeholders
- Selection and training of instructors
- Selection of participants—identify in time for 2-week workshop
- Training and commitment of local administrators and mentors
- Incentives (course credit and/or paid work time) for professional development
- Commitment to ongoing evaluation of the effects of the model
Questions for Discussion

- In what ways do the lessons learned in the development of the model resonate with my own experience?
- How could you use this model to inform improvements in your work with alternative route CTE teachers?
- How could the model inform your work with veteran CT teachers?
For Further Information

- heather.sass@sreb.org
- gene.bottoms@sreb.org